



## MURRAY CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

---

The Murray City Municipal Council met on Tuesday, October 20, 2020 for a meeting held electronically in accordance with the provisions of Utah Code 52-4-207(4), Open and Public Meeting Act, due to infectious disease COVID-19 Novel Coronavirus. Council Chair, Ms. Dominguez, determined that to protect the health and welfare of Murray citizens, an in-person City Council meeting, including attendance by the public and the City Council is not practical or prudent.

**Council Members in Attendance:**

Rosalba Dominguez –Chair	District #3
Diane Turner – Vice Chair	District #4
Kat Martinez	District #1
Dale Cox	District #2
Brett Hales	District #5

**Others in Attendance:**

Blair Camp	Mayor	Janet Lopez	City Council Director
Jennifer Heaps	Chief Communications Officer	Jennifer Kennedy	City Recorder
Brenda Moore	Finance Director	Pattie Johnson	City Council Office Admin.
G.L. Critchfield	City Attorney	Melinda Greenwood	CED Director
Blaine Haacke	Power – General Manager	Bill Francis	The Imagination Company
Greg Bellon	Power – Asst. General Manager		

Ms. Dominguez called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. with the following statement:

Considering the continued rise of COVID-19 case counts in Utah, meeting in an anchor location presents substantial risk to the health and safety of those in attendance because physical distancing measures may be difficult to maintain in the Murray City Council Chambers. The Center for Disease Control states that COVID-19 is easily spread from person to person between people who are in close contact with one another. The spread is through respiratory droplets when an infected person coughs, sneezes or talks and may be spread by people who are non-symptomatic. The intent is to safeguard the lives of Murray residents, business owners, employees and elected officials by meeting remotely through electronic means without an anchor location.

The public may view the meeting via the live stream at:

[www.murraycitylive.com](http://www.murraycitylive.com) or <https://www.facebook.com/Murraycityutah/>

Citizen comments or public hearing comments may be submitted by sending an email in advance or during the meeting to [city.council@murray.utah.gov](mailto:city.council@murray.utah.gov). Comments are limited to less than three minutes, include your name and contact information, and they will be read into the record.

**Approval of Minutes** – Ms. Dominguez asked for comments or a motion on the minutes from September 15, 2020 - Committee of the Whole. Ms. Turner moved to approve. Mr. Hales seconded the motion. Passed 5-0.

**Discussion Items:**

**Fiscal Year 2020 Preliminary Financial Results** – Ms. Moore reviewed a preliminary statement of revenues and expenditures that the Council received prior to the meeting. She noted preliminary calculations were subject to audit and could change. (See Attachment #1)

Ms. Moore discussed and highlighted the following:

- Capital Projects Fund: End Balance = \$14 million. The projected end balance was expected to be closer to \$5.2 million. The difference being that many projects were not completed, so funds have since been rolled into FY 2020-2021, but most capital budgets were spent over the course of the summer.
- Library Fund: Reserves = \$2.6 million. The fund continues to grow as they save for a new library building.
- Enterprise Funds: Funds include revenue from power, water, wastewater, storm water, golf, and solid waste. In general, all funds did very well. Spending in all major categories was below budget. All revenues were at, or above budget, with the exception of power, due to weather. A budgeting problem in storm water revenue was noted, which was down 95% of budget. Ms. Moore would look at how this error occurred to prevent it happening next year.
- Golf Fund: The fund is slowly decreasing a negative balance; it will continue to decrease as loans are paid off. Debt included a new sprinkling system that uses less water.
- GF (General Fund):
  - Ending fund balance = \$15 million @ 33%. A transfer helped to achieve the final 25% total.
  - Sales Tax Revenue: Due to the pandemic, the revenue was predicted to decrease over the last three months of the year. However, for the year, sales tax revenue came in equal to budget, which is up .8% over last year; and 1% above last year. Ms. Moore said it was surprisingly good news. The first distribution of FY 2020- 2021 sales tax revenue was also above last year's amount.
  - Sales Tax Revenue - Option .2: ↓ 1%. The reduction was due to the pandemic when mall, and retail stores located in Murray had to close. There was a lack of 'outsider' spending during March and April at brick and mortar stores.
  - Sales Tax Revenue - Transportation tax: Slightly above budgeted estimates for the first time this year. Money will be rolled into Public Works FY 2021-2022 capital projects, which must be spent only on transportation needs- like roads.
  - Revenue = 1% over budget; and 3% over last year. FY 2020 revenues came in very good. Ms. Moore said this outcome was the best that anyone could have ever imagined when the budget was being considered three months ago in April.
  - Personnel Expenses: Costs finished 5% below budget.
  - Operations Expenses: Stayed at 80% of budget, which is 20% below budget. Ms. Moore felt this was a testament that all city departments stopped spending when the COVID state of emergency was first declared- except for on COVID projects and supplies.

Ms. Moore concluded that overall, the City finished out the year very well. Last year \$3.5 million was transferred to capital projects; this year she allocated \$3,750,000 to capital projects for future capital and maintenance needs; at any point this can be transferred back to the GF if necessary. After the allocation, the GF balance will be lowered to 24.9%, which is less than the required 25%. This occurred because the

City received CARES Act funding.

The City received \$2.9 million in CARES money; from that, \$649,439 was allocated backwards to cover FY 2019-2020 COVID related expenses as follows:

- Supplies and Unemployment = \$65,000. The total includes purchases made to meet COVID safety guidelines and requirements. It also includes unemployment benefit costs that incurred during March, April, May and June of 2020.
- Wages = \$583,000. Utilized to offset wages because many City employees preformed tasks not normal to their job description to handle COVID impact. For example, the fire department spent time preparing supplies and material to address COVID prevention and stoppage, by providing educational information for citizens and City staff; Ms. Moore worked administratively to budget for effects of COVID; and the Parks Department spent time working day after day to clean and tape up playground equipment throughout the end of the fiscal year.

Ms. Moore reported that auditors would arrive tomorrow to begin their field work.

Council Comments:

- Mr. Hales estimated the audit would continue throughout November. Ms. Moore confirmed.
- Ms. Turner was surprised and grateful with the outcome; she said CARES money was very helpful.

**CARES Act Grant Funds** – The City would receive a total of \$2.9 million in CARES Act funding; and \$1.1 million has already been spent from March 2020 to August 2020. Ms. Moore stated the finance department would try to strike a balance between helping Murray citizens and businesses and meet the needs of the City, while working through the rules of the grant. She pointed out since the City cannot assist citizens with delinquent utility bills because of COVID; the City contributed \$100,000 to CAP (the Utah Community Action Program), where all citizens can get help with past due utility bills, and many other things. A chart was provided to reflect categories where CARES money would be allocated for FY 2020-2021.

(Attachment #2)

Purchases, expenses and projects related to COVID spending:

- Supplies: Includes purchases for ZOOM subscriptions, headsets, laptops; cameras for PC's and other supplies to make working from home possible. Also, all PPE (personal protective equipment) like masks, gloves, hand sanitizer, disinfectants; plexiglass shields, and other items to provide touchless services.
- Unemployment: When COVID first happened, all part-time employees were eligible for unemployment benefits. Unemployment providers paid for half of the expense; CARES money will cover the other half.
- Labor and COVID Labor: Totals were budgeted for, as in a normal budget process; other than fire fighters, employees would not be accruing overtime. Because CARES money covers labor costs, that funding would help the GF balance; therefore, the City would use budgeted labor money on something else. Ms. Moore said it was a way of helping the City, without replacing income.
- Projects in Progress:
  - Fire Department - Older stations will be retrofitted with touchless faucets, light switches, etc.
  - Parks Department - All park restrooms will be equipped with touchless services, also saving on water.
  - Library - A drive through book service window will be installed; in addition to remote lockers inside the foyer- accessible with library cards for after-hour service. This service will expand hours of availability past the closing time of 6:00 p.m.

- Mailer - To inform all residents about mortgage and rental assistance opportunities.
- Retrofit - All city buildings will have auto light switches, and touchless facets. (With the exception of city hall, which will only have touchless restroom paper towel holders installed.)
- Small Business Grant Program - Most significant, the City is working on a grant program to improve Murray small businesses, with hopes to help them stay in business. A total of \$700,000 was allocated to help approximately 140 Murray businesses, with up to \$5,000 in financial assistance for things like: marketing, advertising, promotions, and other business-related expenses. As of today, 20 applications were submitted and two were approved.
- Fire/Police COVID Precautions - According to the terms of CARES Act funding, fire and police salary budgets are considered COVID expenses since March of 2020. Federally known to auditors, any CARES Act funding left over by December 31, 2020, can be applied to salary budgets - in that time frame.

Ms. Moore researched a particular study, where it was determined that since the beginning of the COVID crisis, police officers spend 40 minutes a day cleaning and taking necessary precautions; and fire fighters, depending on call volume, utilize 20% of shift duties adhering to COVID guidelines, and handling COVID issues. She said the study was a useful tool to justify the use of CARES money calculated to cover these specific salaries. Ms. Moore felt comfortable using left over COVID money on presumptive public safety costs; therefore, no CARES money would be returned to the County unused.

Council Comments and Discussion:

- Ms. Dominguez asked whether small businesses struggling to pay utility bills, could use Murray grant money to pay past due bills. Ms. Moore said no; she was not aware of any utility assistance programs for businesses; she thought other CARES Act money businesses might have received should be used for that. She said CARES money for Murray was specific to different things from County CARES Act funding.
- Ms. Greenwood confirmed the County was able to provide grant assistance for struggling businesses. But the CARES Act specifically prohibits Murray City from replacing its own lost revenue; for example, business license renewal fees. She said funding received from the County, as long as it was not from paycheck protection, could be used for those types of expenses. And between the County and the State, there were several opportunities for assistance from commercial rent assistance - to retail shop revenue replacement programs. She clarified Murray's Small Business Grant Program is restricted to marketing and promotion efforts only; for example, signage, logos, newspaper and magazine ads; social media advertising, and other printed material.
- Ms. Dominguez noted an inquiry she received about whether CARES Act funding could be spent on the Murray Arts Department. For example, Murray Theater renovations; or, to help with financial losses, due to cancelled plays at the amphitheater. Ms. Moore reiterated the City cannot replace lost revenue with CARES Act funding; she confirmed, however, that the Murray Arts Department received a total of \$19,000 in CARES money, some of which came through Utah Humanities, and other grants. She said this type of money can be applied to future performances and reinstating part-time help at the Murray museum; even though both remain closed- staff can work on other projects. She believed the total amount was more than adequate.

**SMR (Small Modular Reactor)/CFPP (Carbon Free Power Project) Discussion** – Mr. Haacke desired to help Council Members prepare for action they would take during the council meeting, about whether the City should continue with the CFPP. He thanked them for many SMR conversations that began nine years ago when UAMPS first made the proposal; he realized more quick and weighty decisions were required over

the last two years.

Mr. Haacke reported that the highly anticipated DOE (Department of Energy) funding finally came in; he said other than that, no other changes occurred since his last SMR presentation during the September 15, 2020 Committee of the Whole meeting.

He stated the approved minutes from the September 15, 2020 Committee of the Whole were very accurate; he hoped Council Members read them, because the minutes outlined a complete understanding of the current situation; stressed important future energy needs; and contained pros and cons of the CFPP. Mr. Haacke would reference those minutes to stress why the City must find a new resource; and to review alternative energy options in case Murray drops from the CFPP.

Throughout past presentations it was Mr. Haacke's hope that Council Members would weigh-in on the CFPP as one alternative option to fill-in the anticipated gap of energy expected in the next decade. He was confident that no matter how the Council voted, he and staff would continue to formulate a plan to find and provide additional power for Murray City.

The initial reason to stay with the CFPP was discussed, which is the dismantling of coal plants over the next two decades. This will include Murray's largest energy resource provider, the Hunter coal plant. Located near Price, Utah, it is 35-years old and will only last another 15 years; that is why 20 MW (megawatts) of energy will have to be replaced. With coal plant closures, environmental issues, and political matters, not only would Murray be short, but it is projected that all western states would lose energy resources because the entire Western Grid will lose a significant 3,000 MW of plant power. Mr. Haacke stressed whether it be nuclear or something else, the City must replace the loss.

Mr. Haacke pointed out with the coming 3,000 MW deficit; he was only aware of two new power plants being designed in the Western Grid that the City could utilize:

- SMR/CFPP in Idaho.
- Delta, Utah coal plant, which will be refueled to natural gas, and then to hydrogen in the future.

Mr. Haacke discussed advantages, and disadvantages to staying with project; and reviewed current and future cost information:

Advantages:

- DOE grant money of \$1.4 billion dollars was finally received by UAMPS. Mr. Haacke read, guidelines as quoted by the DOE: "This money would serve as a funding vehicle to advance the CFPP, as Congress appropriates." He explained money would come annually to UAMPS in financial increments, stretched out over a ten-year period. A total of 1.1 billion dollars would be distributed over the first three-years; the rest would be received over the remaining seven years. The contribution is approximately one-fourth of the entire six-billion-dollar cost of the plant.
- If the Council votes to stay in; and following the current October 31, 2020 off-ramp - the City would have two additional off-ramp capabilities if uncomfortable with the project.

Disadvantages:

- Lack of subscriptions. Mr. Haacke's biggest concern is that the plant is only 25% subscribed; meaning a 725 MW plant has only signed subscriptions totaling 125 MW; and 550 MW are unaccounted for. He said it was concerning that they are unable to find municipalities, or companies interested in signing on; and the SMR was being built without more entities involved. He felt lack of interest was worrisome; and suggested once licensed and construction starts, others may subscribe later. He

said this was a weakness in the project, and a good reason to question staying with it.

- Increased Cost. Two years ago, the total cost of the plant was estimated at 4.5 billion dollars; it is now six billion.

Current and Future Cost information:

- Currently: Murray spent \$330,000, which will be lost if the Council votes to take the current off-ramp in the Council meeting.
- Future Costs: If the Council votes to stay in.
  - To continue the process UAMPS must go to the next budget phase, which is licensing from the NRC (Nuclear Regulatory Commission). Therefore, UAMPS would request more money from those who remain partnered with them. That commitment could range from 1.1 million dollars, to 1.4 million dollars- taking members to the next off-ramp in 2022.
  - The next financial request would be \$700,000, leading to the next off-ramp in 2023.
  - The overall total of 2.1 million dollars would take the project to the construction bonding phase, which has unknown financial commitments. Approximately 36 other cities in the State are contemplating the same decision about the current off-ramp this month; and as cities drop from the project, the cost will increase for remaining participants.

Council Comments and Discussion:

- Ms. Dominguez asked what percentage of energy the City attains from the Delta, Utah coal plant; how would energy transition while the new plant is under construction; and would the City find another resource during the construction period of the new technology.
- Mr. Haacke affirmed the City is not getting energy from the IPP (Intermountain Power Plant) at this time. But, Murray's current entitlement to the resource is 4% of plant generation; the plant is operated by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. Once the new plant is built, it would be 39 MW of IPP capacity, which is equal to Murray City's full load during winter. He said this was a significant amount of energy and could be utilized on seasonal call-back terms. He agreed it is a plant that the City can access, when needed, however, it is the most expensive resource.
- Mr. Haacke described IPP plans in the year 2025, which is to continue running the existing coal plant for a short time, parallel to the newly constructed plant to ensure the new natural gas plant works efficiently. He thought the IPP was a good option to fall back on, if the City opts out of nuclear.
- Ms. Dominguez asked if a new president is elected, could DOE change their mind about funding SMRs.
- Ms. Haacke explained the project began when President Obama was in office, and DOE appropriations were supported by both government parties in the past; however, without knowing what a future Congress would do, and as noted in DOE guidelines that funding is appropriated by them- it was possible that funding could stop.
- He agreed any possible discontinuation of annual appropriations, would be a disadvantage for staying with the project. Yet, Mr. Haacke said without DOE money, UAMPS would not be involved in the project. Even though it is not clear whether DOE assistance would stop after this; he believed there was a good chance it would continue, since a similar contribution was made to an SMR plant in Michigan. He thought since the DOE is offering money, it was clear they want to see nuclear plants built in the Country.
- Mr. Hales indicated that if subscriptions keep dropping and costs continue to increase, UAMPS could choose to back out of the project completely. Mr. Haacke agreed the City would only be out \$330,000. He said UAMPS would decide on November 2, 2020 whether to stay with the project, once all cities voted on the off-ramp. He believed if Murray discontinues, UAMPS would continue on with the CFPP.
- Mr. Haacke noted the CFPP was just one alternative, so it was not the end of the world if Murray did

not stay with it. He concluded that even though the cost of the plant rose to six billion dollars, UAMPS guaranteed a resource price of \$55 per megawatt hour. He said this was key, no matter what the cost of the plant would be, because the City could purchase at \$55 per megawatt.

- He said a continuation of the discussion would occur in the council meeting prior to the vote, where he would present ten other options the City has should they vote to take the current off-ramp. For example, increase existing subscriptions, build more power plants; or call back more energy from the IPP; which would be more expensive than the CFPP resource. His hope was to present helpful options rather than discuss is a problem.
- Mr. Haacke said his overall current recommendation to the Council would be to drop out of the CFPP, due to concerning stumbling blocks, such as, lack of subscriptions and construction cost increases.
- Mr. Hales thanked Mr. Haacke for his honesty and appreciated the difficult recommendation.
- Mr. Haacke said it was nine years of hard work. He pointed out the City could also drop entitlement, lowering the next financial obligation from \$2 million, to \$500,000; however, his gut feeling was to drop from the project.

**Interlocal Agreement with Out-of-State Public Agency** – Mr. Critchfield presented a proposed resolution; and explained a contract agreement to be considered by the Council. These two items would allow the City to enter into a cooperative procurement, and agreement with H-GAC (Houston-Galveston area Council).

H-GAC is a political subdivision of Texas who would contract with the City, so that the Murray Fire Department can purchase a new fire apparatus. Mr. Critchfield reported the purchasing program would provide a savings of \$26,000.

**Announcements:** Ms. Lopez announced the Haunted Woods fundraiser would be held October 26-28, 2020, 6-8 p.m., in Murray Park. Revenue generated by the event supports victims of abuse.

**Adjournment:** 6:20 p.m.

**Pattie Johnson**  
**Council Office Administrator II**

## ATTACHMENT #1

**QUARTERLY FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020  
4<sup>TH</sup> QUARTER PRELIMINARY**



**MURRAY CITY CORPORATION**

Prepared by  
Murray City Finance  
Brenda Moore - Director of Finance and Administration

The following financial statements represent the period of July 1 through June 30, 2020. The statements are unaudited and were prepared in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. Questions regarding these statements may be directed to the City's Finance & Administration Director, Brenda Moore at [bmoore@murray.utah.gov](mailto:bmoore@murray.utah.gov).

### **COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS**

- *Annual Budget* – Amended budget for fiscal year 2020 as of the date of the statements.
- *YTD to Budget* – Percent of the annual budget spent to date.
- *Current YTD* – Actuals for the period for the period of the statements.
- *Prior YTD* – Actuals for the same period from the prior fiscal year.
- *Chg* – Change between the current period and the same period year period, and percentage of change between the current period and the same prior year period.

### **DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Percent of the year complete: 100%

#### ***General Fund***

- Fund Balance – The .2 sales tax (less fire station bond payment) and Transportation Sales tax have been transferred to the Capital Projects fund. Fund balance is currently at \$15,616,955 (33%). An additional \$3,800,000 is planned to be transferred to the Capital Projects fund for future capital and maintenance needs. After the transfer the fund balance will be \$11,816,955 (24.9%). \$364,242 higher than the previous year end.
- Revenues – Due to COVID-19 revenues for parks & recreation (26%), ambulance services (3%), and fines and forfeitures (19%) were below previous years revenue. Permits and Licensing were 19% above budget. Due to strong sales tax increases in July -February sales, and despite COVID-19, sales tax revenues finished slightly above the previous year. \$649,439 of CARES grant funds were recognized as revenue in FY2020.
- Personnel – Personnel cost finished the year 5% below budget due to open positions, people retiring and being replaced by personnel lower on the step plan.
- Operations – Operations finished the year 20% below budget due to departments careful use of budgeted funds both before and after when the COVID-19 emergency was declared.
- Transfers – Transfer in are calculated based on a percentage of revenue in the utility funds. Rate increases and utility usage will affect the transfer amount. Transfers out are typically done at year end unless needed. Transfers in finished 2020 \$90,721 (2%) below budget due to including write-off in the calculation of transfer amounts.

#### ***Library Fund***

- Fund Balance – Fund balance has increased significantly this year to date due to the collection of property taxes and saving for a new library. The Library Fund's revenue was not affected by COVID-19. \$21,696 of CARES grant money was allocated the Library fund in FY2020 to offsets costs incurred dealing with COVID-19.

- Expenditures – The Library's expenditures for personnel and operations finished the year at 97% and 95% respectively below budget.

#### ***Water Fund***

- Revenues – Revenues came in as expected with the rate increase and correction of the computer system billing schedules.
- Expenditures – Operations and Personnel combined finished the year below budget.

#### ***Wastewater Fund***

- Revenues – Revenues came in as expected.
- Expenditures – All expenditure categories are below budget.

#### ***Power Fund***

- Revenues – Revenues finished the year at 99% of budget. With no rate change, revenues fluctuate based on weather patterns.
- Expenditures – All expenditure categories ended below budget.

#### ***Storm Water Fund***

- Storm Drain Fees collected finished at 1% above previous year, but 8% below budget. Storm water rates increased due to the rate change effective July 1. We will continue to monitor revenue and how revenue is budgeted.
- Expenditures – Operations and Personnel combined finished the year below budget.

#### ***Golf Fund***

- Net Position – The Golf Fund's year end net position is (\$884,881) due to the interfund loans from the Power and Water Funds. Fund balance is expected to remain negative until these loans are paid in full or the next 5 years, whichever is soonest.
- Revenues – Revenues are 13% higher than they were last fiscal year. Spring weather was favorable and when COVID-19 hit they remained open with precautions in place and were extremely busy.
- Expenditures – Expenditures finished right at budget for the year. Spending for this fund is seasonal and typically occurs in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quarters of the fiscal year.

#### ***Solid Waste Fund***

- Revenues finished above budget and expenditures below budget. Revenues increased due to the rate change effective July 1. We are continuing to monitor the recycling tipping fees. The current contract with ACE disposal expires December 2020.

In summary, in spite of COVID-19, and due to some CARES revenue the City's revenues for FY2020 are as projected in the budget. Expenditures finished the year solidly at or below budget.

**MURRAY CITY**

**BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS (Unaudited)**

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

	GENERAL FUND			CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND			REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FUND			LIBRARY FUND		
	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
<b>ASSETS</b>												
Cash and investments	\$ 13,943,734	\$ 9,467,082		\$ 12,029,782	\$ 12,508,424		\$ 3,606,126	\$ 2,783,577		\$ 2,665,353	\$ 1,746,267	
Restricted cash	1,472	510		100,000	6,012,230		166	15,229		17,318	16,960	
Receivables	5,427,513	4,685,333		84	-		2,486	12,821		53,040	21,826	
Other	13,228	12,767		-	634,699		-	-		21,523	29,473	
Capital assets, net	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>19,385,947</b>	<b>14,165,692</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>12,129,866</b>	<b>19,155,352</b>	<b>-37%</b>	<b>3,608,778</b>	<b>2,811,627</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>2,757,234</b>	<b>1,814,526</b>	<b>52%</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>												
Payables and other liabilities	(3,768,991)	(2,712,980)		(1,539,492)	(1,142,124)		(7,894)	(3,790)		(88,951)	(80,561)	
OPEB & pension liabilities	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(3,768,991)</b>	<b>(2,712,980)</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>(1,539,492)</b>	<b>(1,142,124)</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>(7,894)</b>	<b>(3,790)</b>	<b>108%</b>	<b>(88,951)</b>	<b>(80,561)</b>	
<b>FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 15,616,955</b>	<b>\$ 11,452,712</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>\$ 10,590,373</b>	<b>\$ 18,013,228</b>	<b>-41%</b>	<b>\$ 3,600,883</b>	<b>\$ 2,807,836</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>\$ 2,668,283</b>	<b>\$ 1,733,965</b>	<b>54%</b>

	CEMETERY FUND			TOTAL		
	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
<b>ASSETS</b>						
Cash and investments	\$ 1,398,967	\$ 1,348,881	4%	\$ 33,643,961	\$ 27,854,232	
Restricted cash	-	-		118,956	6,044,928	
Receivables	-	-		5,483,123	4,719,980	
Other	-	-		34,751	676,939	
Capital assets, net	-	-		-	-	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,398,967</b>	<b>1,348,881</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>39,280,791</b>	<b>39,296,079</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>						
Payables and other liabilities	-	-		(5,405,329)	(3,939,455)	
OPEB & pension liabilities	-	-		-	-	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b></b>	<b>(5,405,329)</b>	<b>(3,939,455)</b>	<b>37%</b>
<b>FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 1,398,967</b>	<b>\$ 1,348,881</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>\$ 33,875,462</b>	<b>\$ 35,356,623</b>	<b>-4%</b>

# MURRAY CITY

## STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

### GENERAL FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
<b>REVENUES</b>					
Sales tax	\$ 16,650,080	100%	\$ 16,653,637	\$ 16,582,773	0.4%
Sales tax (option)	4,150,000	99%	4,100,358	4,159,480	-1%
Sales tax (Transportation)	1,466,000		1,503,053	26,828	100%
Property tax	10,038,763	98%	9,844,622	10,179,734	-3%
Franchise tax	4,577,000	94%	4,301,741	4,458,730	-4%
Charges for services					
Permits and licensing	1,685,000	129%	2,170,447	1,829,569	19%
Public safety	1,623,470	111%	1,804,206	1,852,455	-3%
Parks & recreation	1,721,000	79%	1,353,349	1,818,113	-26%
Intergovernmental	2,505,431	123%	3,091,889	2,726,012	13%
Fines and forfeitures	1,194,000	86%	1,021,418	1,253,562	-19%
Other	632,541	152%	961,106	763,581	26%
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>46,243,285</b>	<b>101%</b>	<b>46,805,826</b>	<b>45,650,839</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>					
<i>Personnel</i>					
General government	(2,809,745)	96%	(2,683,594)	(2,507,839)	7%
Police	(11,496,324)	98%	(11,220,732)	(10,660,916)	5%
Fire	(8,178,405)	94%	(7,657,226)	(7,710,695)	-1%
Other public safety	(1,186,282)	96%	(1,140,288)	(1,193,152)	-4%
Public works	(2,266,435)	97%	(2,199,540)	(2,116,767)	4%
Parks and recreation	(4,783,172)	93%	(4,433,868)	(4,432,979)	0%
Development services	(1,244,415)	83%	(1,035,802)	(992,299)	4%
<b>Operations</b>	<b>(31,964,778)</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>(30,371,049)</b>	<b>(29,614,647)</b>	<b>3%</b>
General government	(1,552,524)	76%	(1,177,046)	(1,074,490)	10%
Police	(2,350,883)	76%	(1,792,311)	(2,138,449)	-16%
Fire	(1,334,624)	83%	(1,106,938)	(1,120,475)	-1%
Other public safety	(304,412)	66%	(200,078)	(305,541)	-35%
Public works	(2,164,537)	81%	(1,743,515)	(2,007,438)	-13%
Parks and recreation	(2,612,156)	83%	(2,161,483)	(2,224,216)	-3%
Development services	(230,290)	90%	(206,295)	(176,524)	17%
	(10,549,426)	80%	(8,387,667)	(9,047,133)	-7%
UTOPIA	(1,818,999)	100%	(1,818,993)	(1,783,326)	2%
Debt service	(697,078)	100%	(697,846)	(697,946)	0%
Capital outlay	(824,297)	59%	(483,470)	(387,756)	25%
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>(45,854,578)</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>(41,759,025)</b>	<b>(41,530,809)</b>	<b>1%</b>
Transfers in	4,335,618	98%	4,244,897	4,094,732	4%
Transfers out	(5,194,937)	99%	(5,127,454)	(7,099,033)	-28%
Change in fund balance	(470,612)		4,164,243	1,115,729	
Fund balance, beginning	\$ 11,452,712		\$ 11,452,712	\$ 10,336,983	11%
Fund balance, ending	<b>\$ 10,982,100</b>		<b>\$ 15,616,955</b>	<b>\$ 11,452,712</b>	<b>36%</b>

### CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 3,129,218		\$ 500,308	\$ 1,519,320	-67%
Expenditures					
Maintenance	(3,143,971)	57%	(1,786,557)	(1,717,155)	4%
Capital	(18,164,553)	61%	(11,099,060)	(8,814,963)	26%
Transfer in	5,134,937	0%	5,067,454	7,168,133	0%
Transfers out	(105,000)	100%	(105,000)	(310,000)	-66%
Change in fund balance	\$ (13,149,369)		\$ (7,422,855)	\$ (2,154,664)	
Fund balance, beginning	18,013,228		18,013,228	20,167,892	-11%
Fund balance, ending	<b>\$ 4,863,859</b>		<b>\$ 10,590,373</b>	<b>\$ 18,013,228</b>	<b>-41%</b>

### REDEVELOPMENT FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 2,283,687	135%	\$ 3,091,220	\$ 2,469,145	25%
Expenditures					
Administration	(130,148)	133%	(173,734)	(114,532)	52%
Redevelopment	(1,886,136)	35%	(668,978)	(629,551)	6%
Capital	(720,000)	79%	(566,411)	-	-
Debt	(567,550)	100%	(567,550)	(572,418)	-1%
Transfers out	(321,500)	100%	(321,500)	(334,400)	-4%
Change in fund balance	\$ (1,341,647)		\$ 793,047	\$ 818,243	
Fund balance, beginning	2,807,836		2,807,836	1,989,593	41%
Fund balance, ending	<b>\$ 1,466,189</b>		<b>\$ 3,600,883</b>	<b>\$ 2,807,836</b>	<b>28%</b>

### LIBRARY FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 2,715,609	99%	\$ 2,693,670	\$ 2,765,453	-3%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(1,112,486)	97%	(1,075,139)	(1,087,735)	-1%
Operations	(696,656)	95%	(664,464)	(636,795)	4%
Capital	(113,068)	17%	(19,748)	(29,361)	-33%
Change in fund balance	\$ 793,399		\$ 934,318	\$ 1,011,562	
Fund balance, beginning	1,733,965		1,733,965	722,403	140%
Fund balance, ending	<b>\$ 2,527,364</b>		<b>\$ 2,668,283</b>	<b>\$ 1,733,965</b>	<b>54%</b>

### CEMETERY FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 50,000	100%	\$ 50,085	\$ 45,002	11%
Transfers out	-		-	(129,100)	
Change in fund balance	\$ 50,000		\$ 50,085	\$ (84,098)	
Fund balance, beginning	1,348,881		1,348,881	1,432,979	-6%
Fund balance, ending	<b>\$ 1,398,881</b>		<b>\$ 1,398,966</b>	<b>\$ 1,348,881</b>	<b>4%</b>

**MURRAY CITY**
**BALANCE SHEET - PROPRIETARY FUNDS (Unaudited)**

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

	WATER FUND			WASTEWATER FUND			POWER FUND			STORM WATER FUND			
	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	
<b>ASSETS</b>													
Cash and investments	\$ 2,628,151	\$ 2,978,569		\$ 1,484,597	\$ 2,181,516		\$ 29,072,869	\$ 24,641,664		\$ 536,308	\$ 1,240,812		
Restricted cash	4,389,720	78		268,393	266,297		-	-		399	1,279,495		
Receivables	1,561,458	1,125,163		690,619	647,582		6,325,250	5,978,981		240,631	223,713		
Other (including inventory)	-	-		9,478,832	8,251,503		2,576,546	2,564,280		-	-		
Investment in joint venture	-	-		11,086,733	10,788,053		24,324,425	26,767,308		-	-		
Capital assets, net	32,096,222	24,954,761		40%	23,009,175		62,299,090	59,952,234		4%	13,475,790	12,539,825	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>40,675,551</b>	<b>29,058,571</b>								<b>4%</b>	<b>14,253,128</b>	<b>15,283,845</b>	<b>-7%</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>													
Payables and other liabilities	(2,788,660)	(1,414,237)		(429,300)	(568,283)		(5,811,529)	(5,374,993)		(253,199)	(179,944)		
OPEB & pension liabilities	(458,681)	(408,304)		(206,320)	(184,653)		(1,602,905)	(1,470,324)		(181,169)	(160,685)		
Bonds payable	(9,429,620)	(1,694,885)		(2,678,270)	(2,988,109)		-	-		(4,003,458)	(4,251,444)		
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(12,676,961)</b>	<b>(3,517,426)</b>		<b>260%</b>	<b>(3,313,890)</b>	<b>(3,741,045)</b>	<b>-11%</b>	<b>(7,414,434)</b>	<b>(6,845,317)</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>(4,437,825)</b>	<b>(4,592,072)</b>	<b>-3%</b>
<b>NET POSITION</b>													
Net investment, capital assets	22,666,602	23,259,876		17,887,296	16,051,447		24,324,425	26,767,308		9,472,332	8,288,381		
Net position, unrestricted	5,331,988	2,281,269		1,807,989	2,342,458		30,560,231	26,339,609		342,970	2,403,391		
<b>Total net position</b>	<b>\$ 27,998,590</b>	<b>\$ 25,541,145</b>		<b>10%</b>	<b>\$ 19,695,285</b>	<b>\$ 18,393,904</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>\$ 54,884,656</b>	<b>\$ 53,106,917</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>\$ 9,815,303</b>	<b>\$ 10,691,772</b>	<b>-8%</b>
PARKWAY FUND				SOLID WASTE FUND			TELECOM FUND			TOTAL			
Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg		Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	
<b>ASSETS</b>													
Cash and investments	\$ 732,836	\$ 602,131		\$ 388,514	\$ 211,820		\$ 111,782	\$ 116,754		\$ 34,955,057	\$ 31,973,266	9%	
Restricted cash	-	-		-	-		-	-		4,658,511	1,545,869	201%	
Receivables	1,545	-		265,450	219,191		284,007	283,801		9,368,960	8,478,431	11%	
Other (including inventory)	58,285	54,142		-	-		-	-		2,634,831	2,618,422	1%	
Investment in joint venture	-	-		2,362,230	2,205,576		-	-		11,841,062	10,457,079	13%	
Capital assets, net	2,541,605	2,686,474		219,637	208,609		-	-		83,744,412	77,945,030	7%	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>3,334,271</b>	<b>3,342,747</b>		<b>0%</b>	<b>3,235,830</b>	<b>2,845,196</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>395,790</b>	<b>400,555</b>	<b>-1%</b>	<b>147,202,833</b>	<b>133,018,097</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>													
Payables and other liabilities	(307,636)	(303,642)		(185,378)	(128,806)		(280,448)	(280,448)		(10,056,151)	(8,250,354)	22%	
OPEB & pension liabilities	(171,586)	(155,903)		(41,670)	(31,428)		-	-		(2,662,330)	(2,411,297)	10%	
Interfund loans payable	(1,056,729)	(1,198,324)		-	-		-	-		(17,168,077)	(10,132,762)	69%	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(1,535,951)</b>	<b>(1,657,870)</b>		<b>-7%</b>	<b>(227,048)</b>	<b>(160,234)</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>(280,448)</b>	<b>(280,448)</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(29,886,558)</b>	<b>(20,794,413)</b>	<b>44%</b>
<b>NET POSITION</b>													
Net investment, capital assets	2,541,605	2,686,474	-5%	2,581,867	2,414,185	7%	-	-		66,576,335	67,812,268	-2%	
Net position, unrestricted	(743,286)	(1,001,597)	-26%	426,915	270,777	58%	115,342	120,107	-4%	50,739,941	44,411,416	14%	
<b>Total net position</b>	<b>\$ 1,798,319</b>	<b>\$ 1,684,877</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>\$ 3,008,782</b>	<b>\$ 2,684,962</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>\$ 115,342</b>	<b>\$ 120,107</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>\$ 117,316,276</b>	<b>\$ 112,223,684</b>	<b>5%</b>	

## MURRAY CITY

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - PROPRIETARY FUNDS

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

This statement excludes Net investment in capital assets and depreciation expense.

#### WATER FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 7,022,375	114%	\$ 7,993,517	\$ 6,553,936	22%
Bond proceeds	8,274,000	97%	8,054,000	-	-
Expenditures					
Personnel	(2,422,817)	104%	(2,521,977)	(2,289,419)	10%
Ops	(2,167,756)	72%	(1,571,480)	(1,493,492)	5%
Capital	(10,604,268)	74%	(7,805,299)	(2,647,015)	195%
Debt	(463,097)	118%	(547,396)	(396,128)	-
Transfer in	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out	(536,990)	106%	(569,357)	(461,140)	23%
Change in net position	\$ (898,553)		\$ 3,032,008	\$ (733,258)	-513%
Net position, beginning	2,281,269		2,281,269	2,995,817	-24%
Net position, ending	\$ 1,382,716		\$ 5,313,277	\$ 2,262,559	135%

#### WASTEWATER FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 5,281,000	105%	\$ 5,571,253	\$ 6,170,290	-10%
Bond proceeds	-	-	-	-	-
Expenditures					
Personnel	(1,450,807)	92%	(1,334,662)	(1,219,606)	-
Ops	(2,467,447)	51%	(1,256,447)	(2,296,576)	-
Capital	(3,367,413)	32%	(1,065,087)	(1,873,915)	-
Debt	(1,039,248)	118%	(1,227,616)	(750,797)	-
Transfer in	-	21,125	-	21,125	21,125
Transfers out	(400,160)	101%	(405,516)	(368,988)	-
Change in net position	\$ (3,422,950)		\$ 303,049	\$ (318,467)	-195%
Net position, beginning	2,342,456		2,342,456	3,746,846	-37%
Net position, ending	\$ (1,080,494)		\$ 2,645,505	\$ 3,428,379	-23%

#### POWER FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 37,649,000	99%	\$ 37,257,432	\$ 38,861,243	-4%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(7,896,770)	97%	(7,677,106)	(7,632,528)	1%
Ops	(26,849,158)	82%	(22,000,195)	(20,921,273)	5%
Capital	(982,108)	58%	(571,562)	(844,050)	-
Debt	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer in	21,125		21,125	21,125	-
Transfers out	(2,951,920)	95%	(2,809,072)	(2,839,821)	-1%
Change in net position	\$ (1,009,831)		\$ 4,220,622	\$ 6,644,696	-36%
Net position, beginning	26,339,609		26,339,609	19,694,912	34%
Net position, ending	\$ 25,329,778		\$ 30,560,231	\$ 26,339,608	16%

#### STORM WATER FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 2,077,500	95%	\$ 1,975,423	\$ 2,028,265	-3%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(1,037,035)	103%	(1,070,544)	(889,199)	0.20
Ops	(530,251)	85%	(450,280)	(370,728)	0.21
Capital	(2,200,334)	97%	(2,138,545)	(119,621)	16.88
Debt	(378,142)	96%	(363,489)	(360,316)	0.01
Transfer in	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out	-	-	-	-	-
Change in net position	\$ (2,068,262)	99%	\$ (2,047,435)	\$ 288,401	-810%
Net position, beginning	2,403,391		2,403,391	2,078,158	16%
Net position, ending	\$ 335,129		\$ 355,956	\$ 2,366,559	-85%

#### PARKWAY FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 1,308,500	110%	\$ 1,441,802	\$ 1,271,311	13%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(883,827)	100%	(881,788)	(827,797)	7%
Ops	(399,183)	92%	(366,936)	(382,422)	-4%
Capital	(75,000)	101%	(75,800)	(170,835)	-56%
Debt	(165,562)	100%	(165,562)	(165,562)	0%
Transfer in	165,000	100%	165,000	370,000	-55%
Transfers out	-	-	-	-	-
Change in net position	\$ (50,072)		\$ 116,716	\$ 94,695	23%
Net position, beginning	(1,001,597)		(1,001,597)	(1,371,209)	-27%
Net position, ending	\$ (1,051,669)		\$ (884,881)	\$ (1,276,514)	-31%

#### SOLID WASTE FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 2,084,225	117%	\$ 2,447,271	\$ 1,988,107	23%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(441,799)	94%	(415,669)	(289,271)	44%
Ops	(1,497,036)	99%	(1,488,389)	(1,392,035)	7%
Capital	(80,898)	60%	(48,718)	-	-
Debt	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer in	-	-	-	2,016,338	-
Transfers out	(167,298)	109%	(181,702)	(132,633)	37%
Change in net position	\$ (102,806)		\$ 312,792	\$ 2,190,506	-86%
Net position, beginning	2,476,354		2,476,354	285,846	766%
Net position, ending	\$ 2,373,548		\$ 2,789,146	\$ 2,476,352	13%

## MURRAY CITY

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - PROPRIETARY FUNDS

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

This statement excludes Net investment in capital assets and depreciation expense.

#### TELECOM FUND

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 43,000	168%	\$ 72,090	\$ 77,166	-7%
Expenditures					
Ops	(51,750)	149%	(76,855)	(71,787)	7%
Transfer in	-		-	-	
Change in net position	\$ (8,750)		\$ (4,765)	\$ 5,378	
Net position, beginning	120,106		120,106	114,728	
Net position, ending	\$ 111,356		\$ 115,341	\$ 120,106	

#### CENTRAL GARAGE

(Internal Service Fund)

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 362,862	96%	\$ 349,803	\$ 435,041	-20%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(363,033)	104%	(377,004)	(354,497)	6%
Ops	(42,535)	81%	(34,477)	(54,329)	-37%
Capital	(10,000)	43%	(4,271)	(11,870)	
Transfers out	-		-	-	
Change in net position	\$ (52,706)		\$ (65,948)	\$ 14,345	
Net position, beginning	173,840		173,840	159,496	
Net position, ending	\$ 121,134		\$ 107,892	\$ 173,841	

#### RISK MANAGEMENT

(Internal Service Fund)

	Annual Budget	YTD to Budget	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
Revenue	\$ 1,074,881	102%	\$ 1,093,464	\$ 1,210,687	-10%
Expenditures					
Personnel	(401,897)	105%	(420,030)	(380,865)	10%
Ops	(920,154)	90%	(828,642)	(634,307)	31%
Capital	-		-	-	
Transfers out	-		-	-	
Change in net position	\$ (247,170)		\$ (155,208)	\$ 195,514	
Net position, beginning	1,656,320		1,656,320	1,460,806	
Net position, ending	\$ 1,409,150		\$ 1,501,112	\$ 1,656,320	

**MURRAY CITY**

**BALANCE SHEET - INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS (Unaudited)**

As of June 30, 2020 100.00% of year complete (preliminary)

	CENTRAL GARAGE			RISK MANAGEMENT			TOTAL		
	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg	Current YTD	Prior YTD	Chg
<b>ASSETS</b>									
Cash and investments	\$ 194,650	\$ 232,312		\$ 1,922,906	\$ 2,043,046		\$ 2,117,555	\$ 2,275,358	
Other (including inventory)	67,459	59,513		13,167	-		80,627	59,513	
Capital assets, net	94,906	131,332		-	-		94,906	131,332	
Total assets	<u>357,015</u>	<u>423,157</u>	<u>-16%</u>	<u>1,936,073</u>	<u>2,043,046</u>	<u>-5%</u>	<u>2,293,088</u>	<u>2,466,203</u>	<u>-7%</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>									
Payables and other liabilities	(44,594)	(38,959)		(350,552)	(315,255)		(395,145)	(354,214)	
OPEB & pension liabilities	(87,844)	(79,025)		(84,409)	(71,471)		(172,253)	(150,496)	
Total liabilities	<u>(132,438)</u>	<u>(117,984)</u>	<u>12%</u>	<u>(434,961)</u>	<u>(386,726)</u>	<u>12%</u>	<u>(567,398)</u>	<u>(504,710)</u>	<u>12%</u>
<b>NET POSITION</b>									
Net investment, capital assets	94,906	131,332		-	-		94,906	131,332	
Net position, unassigned	129,671	173,841		1,501,112	1,656,320		1,630,783	1,830,162	
Total net position	<u>\$ 224,577</u>	<u>\$ 305,173</u>	<u>-26%</u>	<u>\$ 1,501,112</u>	<u>\$ 1,656,320</u>	<u>-9%</u>	<u>\$ 1,725,690</u>	<u>\$ 1,961,493</u>	<u>-12.0%</u>

## ATTACHMENT #2

Murray City  
CARES expenses  
Actual and Projected

As of Oct 6

Total Grant Amount \$ 2,913,244.86

FUND	Marked as COVID Supplies		Other COVID Supplies		Unemployment Expense	Labor- COVID code	labor estimate	Paid Leave Due to COVID	Fire/police Covid Precaution Estimate		HEAT subgrant
10 General	37,698.52	12,653.78			15,378.58	11,448.93	55,501.09	14,256.02	502,502.00		649,438.92
23 Library	482.05	13,154.63			2,077.62		5,981.79				21,696.09
41 Capital Project	83.71	-									83.71
51 Water	1,487.30	-									1,487.30
52 Waste Water	335.08	-							7,218.20		7,553.28
53 Power	9,698.58	1,730.61			435.78				4,453.51		16,318.48
54 Golf					144.95						144.95
57 Storm Water	59.00	-									59.00
61 Fleet	1,212.57	-				7,823.14		514.26			9,549.97
62 Risk	4,519.96	-									4,519.96
	55,576.77	27,539.02			18,036.93	11,448.93	69,306.02	26,441.99	502,502.00		710,851.66
July-Aug. All Funds	12,989.94	7,189.55			7,540.63	30,659.96		32,270.65	238,336.00	100,000.00	428,986.73
Remainder of the year estimate					5,000.00			30,000.00	524,537.00		559,537.00
Spent or budgeted to date											1,699,375.39

Projects in progress

Fire Station retro fits with touchless facets, light switches etc.	working on cost estimate	50,000.00
All park restrooms retro fit facets with touchless, save water	Estimate including city staff labor	100,000.00
Library drive through book service window, remote locker, open+	waiting on bids	87,636.00
Mailer to all residential addresses to inform about rental assistance	waiting on bids	15,000.00
All city building retrofits, facets, lights switches etc.	waiting on estimate	100,000.00
		2,052,011.39
Grant amount to Public safety presumption		861,233.47

The current plan is to complete the projects listed above, and then use the Public Safety COVID presumption to say we use the rest.

Types of things already purchased using CARES funds

- Zoom
- Laptops, headsets etc. to facilitate working from home
- camera's for PC's and conference rooms
- Masks, gloves, hand sanitizer, disinfectant wipes, plexiglass shields
- Labor costs for staff which "but for COVID" would be doing other things
- Other items necessary to provide touchless services