



## MURRAY CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

---

The Murray City Municipal Council met on Tuesday, January 5, 2021 for a meeting held electronically in accordance with the provisions of Utah Code 52-4-207(4), Open and Public Meeting Act, due to infectious disease COVID-19 Novel Coronavirus. Council Chair, Ms. Dominguez, determined that to protect the health and welfare of Murray citizens, an in-person City Council meeting, including attendance by the public and the City Council is not practical or prudent.

**Council Members in Attendance:**

|                           |             |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Rosalba Dominguez –Chair  | District #3 |
| Diane Turner – Vice Chair | District #4 |
| Kat Martinez              | District #1 |
| Dale Cox                  | District #2 |
| Brett Hales               | District #5 |

**Others in Attendance:**

|                  |                              |                   |                            |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Blair Camp       | Mayor                        | Janet Lopez       | City Council Director      |
| Jennifer Heaps   | Chief Communications Officer | Jennifer Kennedy  | City Recorder              |
| Doug Hill        | Chief Administrative Officer | Pattie Johnson    | City Council Office Admin. |
| Danny Astill     | Public Works Director        | Trae Stokes       | City Engineer              |
| Brenda Moore     | Finance Director             | Melinda Greenwood | CED Director               |
| G.L. Critchfield | City Attorney                | Bill Francis      | The Imagination Company    |
| Brook Smith      | Deputy Recorder              | Jared Hall        | CED – Division Supervisor  |

Ms. Dominguez called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

**Approval of Minutes** – Ms. Dominguez asked for a motion to approve the minutes from:

- Committee of the Whole – November 17, 2020
- Committee of the Whole – December 1, 2020

Mr. Hales moved approval. Ms. Turner seconded the motion. (Approved 5-0)

**Discussion Items:**

**General Plan and Zone Map amendments 861 East Winchester and 6520, 6550, and 6580 South 900 East; RC Willey** – Jared Hall

Mr. Hall reported the Boyer Company purchased the RC Willey property of 9.11 acres. The hope is to construct a mixed-use project, which would require amendments to both the City's GP (General Plan), and current Zone map. Aerial photos were displayed to review current zoning regulations, which is a General Commercial designation, and a C-D (Commercial Development) zone. The RC Willey store would close in February of 2021 and the site will be vacated. New owners considered other retail options but found nothing viable. As a result, they elected to appeal to the City for zone changes to accommodate a mixed-use project. Mr. Hall said this type of development is common; and staff anticipated the rezone long before this request.

The Future Land Use map within the GP was analyzed to show that the property should be classified as General Commercial development, which does not allow single or multi-family residential uses; the proposed M-U (Mixed-Use) zone allows for density up to 40 dwelling units per acre. Mr. Hall discussed differences between the two: for example, landscaping, buffering mechanics, parking, and setback distances. Most significantly, the M-U allows buildings to be 15 feet higher in housing density, with residential multi-family units accompanied by commercial components.

The Murray Planning Commission mailed 119 notices announcing the public hearing held on December 3, 2020. Several public comments were heard expressing concerns about stormwater issues on Labrum Avenue, parking, high traffic on Winchester and 900 East; increased crime, reduced property values, and a general lack of desire for high-density housing. The planning commission voted 7-0 to recommend approval to the City Council; Mr. Hall read findings as to why staff believes the major change is appropriate:

- The GP allows for the change.
- The amendment is supported by the description and intent of the General Commercial land use designation because it recognizes, considers, and anticipates mixed-use development as common components in newer developments that include higher density, and multi-family housing.
- The M-U conforms to goals and objectives of the 2017 GP that support re-development of the property.
- Changes are based on characteristics of the site and surrounding area and on the policies and objectives of the 2017 GP and are in harmony with goals of the plan.

Council Comments and Discussion:

- Ms. Turner asked how it was that the GP allowed for changes. She believed it should not be that simple, because the process to create the 2017 GP took two-years. She recalled well-thought planning, continuous study, and research to ensure residential communities would be protected; so that any community development, including issues like parking, and resources like water were carefully considered for each rezone. She expressed concern about the amendments and thought the Council should carefully consider the proposal because public input and opinion was originally part of having a new GP. She felt the high-density project was concerning; and expressed the same thought about developing the Sports Mall property in the same manner.
- Mr. Hall confirmed the proposed development was high-density. He reminded the Council changes were made to M-U zones in 2019; so, because the RC Willey property was far from a transit area, 40 units per acre would be allowed. Other projects closer to TRAX stations could be even higher.
- He agreed the decision should be made carefully, but believed the rezone was appropriate; he said although it was a big change for the area, higher density housing is a component of future proposals for most newer developments.
- Ms. Turner felt the M-U zone was more appropriate in the downtown area. She stressed the GP ensured a good balance in terms of density and parking issues throughout the City; and thought

other options should be considered for the RC Willey parcel. Mr. Hall reiterated staff believed the recommendation for a village-oriented M-U was better than a large retail store for the property.

- Ms. Turner noted M-U zones require retail service components, which create money for developers that in turn generate sale tax revenue for the City. However, the M-U zone would also require City resources and services, which would be an overall cost to Murray.
- Mr. Hales reported receiving concerns from constituents about plans for the parcel located in his district. He understood the initial idea was that a majority of parcels along Winchester, and many on the west side of 900 East would transition to the R-N-B (Residential Business) zone. He agreed a change from 35' buildings- to possibly 50' was significant at the RC Willey property; he echoed Ms. Turners concerns about amending the GP.
- Mr. Cox calculated 360 units could be built on the site. Mr. Hall confirmed commercial components would be included. Mr. Cox expressed concerned about increased traffic on both main roads and wondered if a traffic study was conducted, due to additional cars anticipated from high density housing. He agreed changes to the GP should be carefully considered.
- Ms. Martinez understood the M-U zone was a newer designation being added to the GP.
- Mr. Hall noted three designations in the GP that allow mixed-use; the MCCD (Murray City Central District); T-O-D (Transit Orient District), and the M-U zone itself. He said the M-U was in practice for 25 years; and thought the concept was a way of returning to how things were before things were more centralized, and before automobile transit was the assumed transportation method.
- Ms. Martinez stated she is a fan of M-U zones and walkable neighborhoods; she prefers living near apartments and stores, rather than big box retail. She asked if it was a slow shift to implement mixed-use areas, as she did not recognize its color code on the existing Zone Map.
- Mr. Hall explained small changes to the M-U began in 2017 to be more strategic and to be identified near Nodes, which are appropriate along 900 East and State Street. He said new development reinvestment in the City meant accepting these mixed-use projects.
- Ms. Martinez clarified since she was not part of the initial GP update process; she appreciated understanding the history about where M-U zones were identified originally.
- Ms. Greenwood validated concerns about amending the GP, and the in-depth public process it took to update the GP. She said the GP was designed to have flexibility, due to an everchanging market, and environmental conditions. She noted several amendments not part of the 2017 GP update already occurred, which came with negative recommendations. For example, the first rezone in 2019 for the destination project to be built on the K-mart property. She felt while the planning process for the GP seemed recent, it had been four years; and the next update would happen next year, as GP's are updated every five years. Their conclusion was rather than have the RC Willey property sit vacant for years, like K-mart, staff moved more quickly on this proposal due to supportive findings.
- Ms. Turner affirmed the GP also exists to support Murray's vision; she did not think the vision should include huge apartment buildings that require a large increase of City resources; she believed citizens would not approve of high density apartments throughout the City; and stressed how the GP protected those options. She did not want to see the GP eroded bit by bit.
- Mr. Hales thought the RC Willey parcel was not comparable to the K-Mart property; it was his opinion that an M-U project was not suitable for the area on Winchester, and 900 East.
- Ms. Turner agreed, the K-Mart property was better suited for an M-U project.
- Ms. Dominguez noted the two-year process to update the 2017 GP prior to her service; she wondered if the utilization of City services, like water, sewer, police service, transit, and school capacities were calculated into future planning. She believed multi-family dwellings would put

stress on the Murray school system; and asked if studies took place to prepare the City for these proposed amendments.

- Mr. Hall felt the City was prepared to provide additional city services, due to growth. He confirmed system upgrades would be necessary for high density housing and new commercial redevelopment. He thought this type of growth and development would provide a good return for the City; and felt that 100 units, compared to six new large homes, was a greater value. He believed the cost to develop single-family neighborhoods was actually more costly, than this type of housing; but the decision made by staff was not a driving point to make up any financial deficit – it was about choosing the appropriate reinvestment in the property.
- Mr. Hall said additional growth always causes strain on city services, because as a city grows the need for more police and fire employees always occurs; and the City would continue to grow, one way or another. He felt the effects of neighboring city growth would be felt in Murray because increased transit, traffic, density, and population are regional issues. He said arterial roads near RC Willey carry a lot of traffic; and thought M-U zones can produce less traffic than the single-family neighborhood. He affirmed that providing M-U zone was meant to be a long-range change, so he would continue to support mixed-use for this parcel.
- He confirmed there would be greater height, and change would be difficult. Staff would try at best to mitigate issues abutting neighboring single-family areas by protecting what exists. He said traffic from the project would not impact neighborhoods, but it absolutely would on 900 East and Winchester. He held that as growth occurs in Sandy, Midvale, Cottonwood Heights, Millcreek, and Taylorsville, the two roads would get busier as time moves forward. In his opinion he thought the project was not out of bounds for this area.
- Ms. Dominguez asked why the area was not planned as M-U originally in the GP if that was the case.
- Mr. Hall said there was past discussion about adding the M-U zone as a potential category for all General Commercial zones. However, the planning commission and City Council felt that need would come as higher density housing redevelopment occurred later. Mr. Hall noted conversations in 2017 that growth was expected in five to ten years from then- but now only after three years, the pressure is here. He admitted they should have included the M-U in this area – and they tried to.
- Mayor Camp acknowledged the existing and growing R-N-B zone along Winchester mentioned by Mr. Hales; and invited Mr. Hall to clarify why the RC Willey parcel was not zoned for R-N-B.
- Mr. Hall explained the expectation was that RC Willey would remain at the location for many more years; second, the plan for the R-N-B zone was designated only for properties fronting 900 East and Winchester, and a large corner 13-acre parcel would be assumed for more serious commercial development. He noted R-N-B did not allow for drive throughs or restaurants, due to property depths, so large parcels are not categorized as R-N-B.
- Ms. Dominguez asked the difference between existing C-D conditional uses, and proposed M-U conditional uses; and was the RC Willey corner considered a Node in future planning area.
- Mr. Hall said retail uses are largely the same; with the exception of greater height allowance in the M-U; and automobile-oriented businesses not allowed in the M-U; the corner was not a Node.
- Ms. Turner inquired the status of Node planning; she did not see development in this category.
- Mr. Hall said more study-area funding was needed, and the process was moving unsuccessfully slow. Larger area studies took higher priority last year; for example, Fashion Place Mall/TRAX stations. They still hope to create Node areas and find alternate funding sources.
- Ms. Turner reiterated something more creative should be developed - other than high density housing for the RC Willey parcel.

- Mr. Cox thought 50' seemed high backing up to neighborhoods. Mr. Hall confirmed the maximum height for the M-U had not changed in 2019. So, with 20' setbacks, the project would most likely produce three-story units; and conditional use permits would be necessary to mitigate the impact.
- Ms. Dominguez asked how many stories equal 50'. Mr. Hall said 13' makes up one story in modern development.

**Zone Map amendment 192 East 4500 South; Sew N Fit** – Mr. Hall spoke about a request made by Sew-N-Fit owners who want to run a tailor and alterations shop on the property. He confirmed the G-O (General Office) zone does not allow for alteration type services; so, a rezone to C-D would be necessary. He displayed the Zone map and aerial photos to point out the lengthy frontage and high traffic volume area, which is why other properties along 4500 South to Atwood had already been rezoned to C-D in 2017.

Mr. Hall said the GP supports the change; and reported 39 public notices were mailed out prior to the planning commission meeting held on November 19, 2020. No public comments were received during the public hearing. The vote was 6-0 to recommend approval to the Council for the rezone based on particular findings; staff also recommended approval. The Council would consider the rezone in a council meeting.

A brief discussion occurred about the option to add dry cleaning or alteration type services as a conditional use in the G-O, rather than rezoning parcels. Mr. Hall said staff hopes to review all conditional use lists, for all zones, to better address rezoning proposals in the future; however, a rezone in this case was easier since the area was projected to become C-D, which was noted in the recommendations.

**General Plan and Zone Map amendments 5445 South 900 East; Sports Mall** – Mr. Hall said the proposal to rezone the 9.93-acre parcel on 900 East was similar to the RC Willey request. Recently, Sports Mall owners approached the City, and submitted applications to amend the GP and the Zone Map in order to allow for a mixed-use development in the future. The owners are determined to sell, because the Sports Mall has seen a steady decline in memberships; they are facing increased financial needs related to property repairs and upkeep; and COVID-19 heightened challenging issues.

The parcel is a deep single piece; backs up to a stable residential neighborhood, where the current C-D zone does not support mixed-use developments. The Future Land Use zone map in the GP was displayed to confirm that the suggested *General Commercial* zone category would need to be changed to M-U to allow for a residential project. Mr. Hall pointed out that nearby at the intersection of 900 East and 5600 South was a proposed Node, which was previously discussed. A complete study of the area has not occurred yet, because the request happened sooner than anyone anticipated. The proposal would allow 40 units per acre, with commercial businesses located closer to 900 East. Both staff and the planning commission recommended approval to the City Council.

An aerial photo was displayed; Mr. Hall explained two types of designs for mixed-use developments:

- Vertical: Commercial space is located on the ground floor of the structure, with residential above.
- Horizontal: Commercial and eateries are located in front of residential buildings with a connective essential feature, like a plaza with pedestrian walkways throughout; additional spaces surrounding the project are required for potential development that come later.

Mr. Hall said the area is already active and vibrant, although it sits away from the City's Central Business District and central core along State Street. It was his opinion that the proposal was a great addition to

the area and well suited to become a mixed-use site, which would spark future desired changes around the Node.

The planning commission held a meeting on December 3, 2020; 134 public notices were mailed prior to the public hearing. One comment was received regarding timing of the notice, and concerns about degrading property values. The planning commission voted 7-0 to recommend approval to the City Council based on various findings largely the same as those used for the RC Willey site.

Council Comments:

- Ms. Turner expressed the same concern about changing the GP so soon. She reiterated that the Council should consider the amendment carefully after more thorough research could ensure it was the right choice for the parcel. She felt planners could be more creative.
- Mr. Hales expressed the same opinion.
- Ms. Martinez appreciated understanding vertical and horizontal options for mixed-use projects, with community features. She restated her favor of M-U zones; and reported requests from Murray residents about having more housing. She believed the advantage of the GP, was that public attention made it easier to attain feedback; and felt GP amendments were imminent and confirmed that nefarious hidden rezoning was not occurring. She said it was hard for residents to keep up with constant changes, which is why she favored sticking to the GP; although, as a fan of M-U where walkable neighborhoods could be created, she'd rather walk in that environment, than large parking lots.

**Diversity and Inclusion Ad Hoc Task Force** – Ms. Martinez spoke of her passion for inclusion and desire to ensure ADA (American Disabilities Act) accessibility; this came about after the passing of her beloved nephew Adactus, who struggled with a disability. She shared a power point to update the Council about changes made to the task force proposal since her last presentation. To view the entire presentation visit: <https://youtu.be/s5kQEmc3Rbs?list=PLQBSQKtwzBqLxiqGGqdVorSUzCOAEmh-2&t=3626>

She pointed out that people need different types of equipment to achieve the same task; this is the understanding of equality, versus equity. The Governance Charter, the background and purpose of the Ad Hoc Task Force was reviewed. It was noted that the need to create the Ad Hoc Task Force stemmed from the changing demographics of the City. As the City continues to grow and change, it is necessary to research and examine current City practices and policies related to diversity and inclusion across city government and impacts of these practices and policies on marginalized communities.

Ms. Martinez acknowledged Murray residents are proud of existing services; and affirmed the effort was in no way a method to disparage what was already being offered; the hope was to ensure that everyone can access all that is available. Therefore, the Ad Hoc Task Force shall assist the City by improving the quantity and quality of inclusive experiences and opportunities; provide a strong sense of community; engage residents, and support events and tradition that build bridges within communities. The task force would ensure services are equally accessible to all residents by identifying barriers that can be removed and make recommended changes to the City.

Definitions were noted that *Equality* is treating everyone the same; and *Equity* is treating everyone fairly, which would be the core work of the task force. One change noted was that the Ad Hoc Task Force shall complete or cause to be completed, a fact-finding activity that will be promoted intentionally among marginalized communities that will access community involvement, sense of belonging, feelings of the level of safety within Murray, and the ease of navigating City services. Another change is that rather than

forming a committee – the entity would be an Ad Hoc Task Force. Ms. Martinez thought it made sense for the task force to be housed by the Murray City Council Office; she explained those reasons as:

- There was no department in the City, that was the right fit for housing the task force.
- The task force would be making recommendations to the Council and the Mayor, that would impact all aspects of the City.

She thanked the Mayor's office for leg work, conversations, and fact-finding work, acquiring bids, and looking at various surveys. What they discovered was that marginalized, and minority communities are difficult to survey. She believed the reason the survey was not possible in these communities; was the very reason that formulating the task force was so important. Information about the City was shared to point out diverse populations in Murray that could be better included; the following was noted:

- 10% are Hispanic/Latino.
- 12% speak another language in the home rather than English.
- 5% identify as LGBTQ+.
- One in four adults live with wide ranges of physical disabilities.
- Statewide, 60,000 Refugees live in Utah: most live in Salt Lake County, with some in the west part of Murray.

Ms. Martinez explained the task force would be made up of nine members; five must be Murray residents; and four can be business owners or community partners that work, or directly provide services in Murray. Already working with different partners in the community and forming relationships, she has reached out to the following, who are interested in serving as task force members:

- Encircle and Pride.
- IRC (International Rescue Committee) who serves refugees Statewide.
- Utah OCA (Asian Pacific Islander Advocacy Group).
- DRAC (Disability Rights Action Committee).
- Murray Baptist Church.
- Utah BLM (Black Lives Matter) Murray location.
- Saint Joseph the Worker.
- MSD (Murray School District) Equity Council.
- Boys and Girls Club.
- Murray Senior Recreation Center.
- Utah Apartment Association and Housing Coalition

Ms. Martinez was optimistic about attaining nine candidates; and confirmed with open meetings, others not serving could attend meetings and participate at the community level. The task force would be available to all City residents, but mostly to those voices who have not been heard; or do not feel heard. The task force would create a space to get people plugged into the community, and into city government, as a structure by helping others in their own communities navigate through opportunities as ambassadors. By achieving equal access treatment, opportunity and advancement for all people would be available; this would be the recommendations made to the Council and the Mayor. She believed new policies and procedures could be easily implemented, while others would-be long-term goals that could actually become codified long-term goals once funding was more clear later. Overall, the task force is to ensure all Murray residents, employees, and businesses are included, valued, and heard.

Council Comments:

- Mr. Hales asked if Council Members would be on the selection committee to find members for the Ad Hoc Task Force.

- Ms. Martinez envisioned an open period for receiving all applications; where each Council Member would review all applications and pick the top-nine; this would be followed by a final sorting by her and one other council member to ensure top picks met the intent of the membership and specific representation.
- Mr. Hales requested that all five Council Members be involved in the final sorting process - so that equal agreement could be made.
- Ms. Martinez agreed and was open to logistic feedback in achieving good choices in the best way.
- Ms. Dominguez asked if City Code was in place to outline the process for the Council to select task force members.
- Mr. Critchfield confirmed if all five Council Members interview applicants together, a public meeting is required.
- Mr. Cox volunteered to assist Ms. Martinez in reviewing final choice applications, if necessary.
- Ms. Turner said the proposal reflected well on the City, and the City Council that they are willing to move forward with the task force. She shared one concern on the Governance Chart related to the automatic termination of the Ad Hoc Task Force Committee upon final submission of recommendations to the City; she requested the task force be ongoing.
- Mr. Critchfield explained the nature of an Ad Hoc committee, which is specifically designed and defined as temporary- to terminate after issues are realized, addressed, and goals are achieved. They would instead need to consider an ordinance to establish a more permanent group to fulfill those functions as ongoing.

**Federal Aid Agreement with UDOT (Utah Department of Transportation)** – Mr. Astill noted the Council would consider the resolution in the council meeting to execute a Federal Aid Agreement with UDOT and receive Federal-Aid Highway Funds; he asked Mr. Stokes to discuss the topic.

Mr. Stokes explained the agreement and funding would improve the intersection at 5300 South and College Drive that has always been busy and congested; it was scheduled for reconstruction a few years ago. Traffic engineers graded the intersection at peak times; it received an E for level of service, meaning - not desirable. And with the expansion of the Security National campus development, the intersection becomes more heavily congested, so the future level of service drops to an F - indicating mass failure.

Due to those concerns stemming from 2017 and 2018, public works and city engineers submitted a federal grant application through the WFRC (Wasatch Front Regional Council) specifically aimed at reducing congestion in the area. In 2018, the City was awarded \$1.7 million in federal funds to make necessary improvements, which primarily include adding secondary turn lanes to the west and south. Another benefit is that safety will be improved for pedestrians who routinely cross north and south on 5300 South.

If approved, designing, and attaining the right-of-way acquisition will begin in six weeks. Plans to begin construction would occur next year coinciding with the completion of the new National Security building.

**Council Comments and Discussion:**

- Mr. Cox said knowing traffic grades was imperative. He felt understanding the traffic grade for the RC Willey intersection would be helpful as well in making decisions for that area, where increased traffic was a great concern.
- Mr. Stokes confirmed new developments of any size require a traffic study, and an analysis of intersections to determine level of service. He agreed the RC Willey site generated a great deal of

traffic, but he was uncertain if the new development would create the same amount, which could be less. The developer would conduct a traffic study and make changes to mitigate those issues.

- Mr. Cox stressed including traffic grades in future rezone proposals would help the Council immensely.
- Ms. Dominguez agreed. Mr. Stokes explained traffic studies typically occur after a rezone. He said an update of the Transportation Plan, based on the GP, was delayed. It is now 80% complete; includes public input and would be forth coming.

**Committee Participation by Council Members** – Ms. Dominguez led a discussion to determine 2021 Council committee memberships. Mr. Hales suggested leaving representatives as is, with the exception of the ULCT, LPC committee. A brief discussion followed when Mr. Critchfield clarified the process to identify new representation required a vote in a council meeting, and not decided in the Committee of the Whole meeting. Ms. Lopez confirmed the process had always been conducted in Committee of the Whole meetings; Mr. Hales confirmed. As a result, those decisions and a formal final vote would occur on January 19, 2021.

**Appointment of Interlocal Board Representatives** – Mayor Camp reported all representation would remain the same, other than one change to his annual appointments. (Attachment #1) He explained that because Ms. Kennedy would no longer be working as the Murray City Recorder, another entity would take over CAP (Community Action Program) representation this year; Ms. Kennedy was recently hired to be the new City Council Director. The Council would consider the resolution to approve his appointments during the January 19, council meeting.

**Announcements:** Ms. Lopez announced that the Murray City School Coordinating Council would be held virtually on Wednesday, January 13, 2021 and 5:00 p.m.

**Adjournment:** 6:09 p.m.

**Pattie Johnson**  
**Council Office Administrator II**

## ATTACHMENT #1

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENT OF  
REPRESENTATIVES TO BOARDS OF INTERLOCAL ENTITIES.

WHEREAS, the Mayor needs to make appointments to the governing boards of the Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS), Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility, Salt Lake Valley Emergency Communications Center (VECC), TransJordan Cities, Utah Telecommunication Open Infrastructure Agency (UTOPIA), Utah Infrastructure Agency (UIA), Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District, Intermountain Power Agency, Metro Fire Agency, NeighborWorks Salt Lake, and the Jordan River Commission (collectively "Interlocal Entities"); and

WHEREAS, the Mayor has made appointments to the governing boards of the Interlocal Entities; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor wants approval by the Murray City Municipal Council of the appointments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Murray City Municipal Council that it hereby approves the following appointments:

1. Blaine Haacke as the City's representative to the Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS) Board with Greg Bellon as the alternate representative.
2. Mayor Blair Camp as the City's representative to the Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility Board with Danny Astill as the alternate representative.
3. Doug Hill as the City's representative to the Salt Lake Valley Emergency Communications Center (VECC) Board with Mayor Blair Camp as the alternate representative.
4. Russ Kakala as the City's representative to the TransJordan Cities Board with Danny Astill as the alternate representative.
5. Mayor Blair Camp as the City's representative to the Utah Telecommunication Open Infrastructure Agency (UTOPIA) Board with Brenda Moore as the alternate representative.
6. Brenda Moore as the City's representative to the Utah Infrastructure Agency (UIA) Board with Mayor Blair Camp as the alternate representative.

7. Councilmember Diane Turner as the City's representative to the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District Board.
8. Blaine Haacke as the City's representative to the Intermountain Power Agency Board with Greg Bellon as the alternate representative.
9. Doug Hill as the City's representative to the Metro Fire Agency Board.
10. Melinda Greenwood as the City's representative to NeighborWorks Salt Lake Board.
11. Mayor Blair Camp as the City's representative to the Jordan River Commission with Kim Sorensen as the alternate representative.

These appointments shall take effect immediately.

DATED this    day of January 2021.

MURRAY CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

---

Council Chair

ATTEST:

---

Jennifer Kennedy, City Recorder