

Murray City Municipal Council Chambers Murray City, Utah

The Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah, met on Tuesday, the 18th day of May, 2010 at 6:30 p.m., for a meeting held in the Murray City Council Chambers, 5025 South State Street, Murray, Utah.

Roll Call consisted of the following:

Jeff Dredge,	Council Chair
Krista Dunn,	Council Member
Darren Stam,	Council Member
Jared Shaver,	Council Member - Conducted
Jim Brass,	Council Member

Others who attended:

Dan Snarr,	Mayor
Jan Wells,	Chief of Staff
Michael Wagstaff,	City Council Director
Carol Heales,	City Recorder
Frank Nakamura,	City Attorney
Rondi Knowlton-Jeffries,	Mayor's Office
Whitney Tyrrell,	Mayor's Office
Doug Hill,	Public Services Director
Tim Tingey,	Community Economic Development Director
Blaine Haacke,	General Manager, Power Department
Gil Rodriguez,	Fire Chief
Kim Sorenson,	Park Superintendent
Patricia Wilson,	Finance Director
Becky Hansen,	Parks Department
Citizens	

A. OPENING CEREMONIES

1. Pledge of Allegiance - Chief Gil Rodriguez

2. Approval of minutes of April 27, 2010

Ms. Dunn made a motion to approve the minutes.
Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales.

All ayes

3. Special Recognitions:

Consider a Joint Resolution of the Mayor and Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah announcing City participation in the national "America in Bloom" program and competition.

Mr. Shaver read the Resolution in its entirety.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Mr. Dredge 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

Mayor Snarr introduced the Committee Members; he stated that we have tried, over the years, to try to make our city a city in which people feel very comfortable in, because of the beauty we have tried to create. His predecessor did an absolutely incredible job, he was always awe-struck by the work done by Lynn Pett, to take those areas and build a beautiful golf course, to enhance the Parkway, making it the most admired portion of the Jordan River from Utah Lake to the Great Salt Lake; to the credit of those Council members who were around when we worked hard to provide the funding to build the Willow Pond Park, the work that was done at Ken Price, the arboretum, the Rose Garden, we could go on

forever. There are so many things that we can show, that we tried to do, to make our City a beautiful place for people to enjoy and live.

He looks forward to making some other nice things happen between now and the time that the judges get here; we have a little more warning than we had with the American Idol competition, we only had a few days there, but we made that happen. We have several weeks here to make this happen, and one of the things that has always inspired the Mayor is that Mr. Sorenson really cares about the parks, and Ms. Hansen is awesome; he always remember the time when he and Mr. Hill drove down State Street, and he said how bad the tams sucked in the middle of the islands, and he didn't like them. He asked if there was anything they could do about it, and he was told that he had the authority, so they took them out and replaced them. They are a little shorter on flowers, because of the street changes, but the pride and joy is downtown historic Murray-you drive up and down State Street, you will never see another planter like that.

Mayor Snarr stated that he is so proud of the work, and Ms. Hansen understands that; she gets out there and does the work, has the support and he appreciates everything that gets done. They are going to keep trying to improve the City and knows that everyone will step up to make our community a more beautiful place for people to live and enjoy, and he is proud of it. For him, it is pure therapy to go out and do projects like that.

Ms. Hansen said that between the Mayor and herself, they are going to get the best of the chickweed and the bindweed in this City! She is very excited about this program; when they first looked into it in February, they started to make lists of all the things that the City does. If you have gone to the City web-site and looked at the City profile, you can understand why they get excited about Murray. She can't believe how many things over the years have just come together to make Murray such a beautiful and wonderful place. She goes to seminars throughout the state, and wherever she goes, people ask where she is from, and they always say 'Oh, we always know Murray', either for the Jordan Parkway, or the main park, or just the nice city. She has a lot of people call asking if there is a place where they can meet in the park, or can they do this in the park, etc. Murray is really well known throughout the state, especially in Salt Lake County, and she feels it is because of *all* the people here; this is a thing for all residents to take pride in and show what we have, and that there is 'more to Murray.'

Mr. Sorenson commented that he is obviously getting way to much credit, just standing by Ms. Hansen-as she does all of the work. He thanked the City Council and the Mayor; working in the Parks Department is much more pleasing and gratifying with their support. Everything that they have talked about tonight, they have benefited from the help and support the City gives them, and with wonderful employees such as Ms. Hansen, it is good to just stand by and get the credit.

B. CITIZEN COMMENTS (Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise approved by the Council.)

None given

Public comment closed

B. CONSENT AGENDA

None scheduled

D. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Staff and sponsor presentations, and public comment prior to Council action on the following matter:

Consider a Resolution declaring the property located at 153 West 4800 South, Murray City, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, as surplus

Staff presentation: Frank Nakamura, City Attorney

Mr. Nakamura stated that this is a small piece of property that is in the Power Department Fund; Front-Runner, UTA, approached the City asking that they needed some property as part of their Front-Runner south construction. This is property that the City does not need, and you will later consider a Resolution regarding the sale of this property to Front-Runner, but initially, we have to surplus the property.

Mr. Nakamura said that they have spoken to the Power Department, and there is no specific need for this small piece; it is close to a transformer and in the agreements, they will take care of the issues that might impair the access to the transformer. Right now though, they are asking the City Council to surplus this property so that they can then consider selling this property to UTA for their Front-Runner south project.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None given.

Public comment closed

Council consideration of the above matter.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

2. Staff and sponsor presentations, and public comment prior to Council action on the following matter:

Consider a Resolution declaring the property located at 136 West Vine Street, Murray City, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, as surplus.

Staff presentation: Frank Nakamura, City Attorney

Mr. Nakamura stated that this is a piece of property that the Utah Transit Authority wants as part of their Front-Runner south project; the City has discussed this with Public Services, it would be in the General Fund. There is an agreement that there will be a dedicated and constructed right-of-way, as this is in a right-of-way. This is located on the Smelter Overlay District, but the Utah Transit Authority understands that, and will abide by all restrictions under our institutional controls.

They are interested in purchasing the property, and before that can be done, the City needs to declare it as surplus, and that there is no need for the property.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None given.

Public comment closed

Council consideration of the above matter.

Mr. Dredge made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Mr. Stam 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

A Ms. Dunn
A Mr. Dredge
A Mr. Stam
A Mr. Brass
A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

3. Staff and sponsor presentations, and public comment prior to Council action on the following matter:

Consider an Ordinance repealing Section 17.16.100 of the Murray City Municipal Code relating to the requirement of geographical representation on the Board of Adjustment.

Staff presentation: Tim Tingey, Economic Development Director

Mr. Tingey stated that this item has been noticed in the appropriate publications, as well as it went to the Planning Commission for a recommendation on April 15, 2010; they forwarded a positive recommendation.

The Board of Adjustment serves an important purpose, they are the appeal authority for land use decisions, as well as reviewing variances and other issues related to the land use ordinance. It is critical that we have vacancies filled; there are only five members, and sometimes we don't have the interest that we need among citizens, and it is a challenging board to be part of, as is others, and they are recommending to eliminate this geographical representation so that they can fill these positions with people who have a desire to serve, and also have adequate background for that, so they are recommending approval.

Public Hearing opened for public comment

None given

Public Hearing closed

Council consideration of the above matter.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to adopt the Ordinance.
Mr. Dredge 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

A Ms. Dunn
A Mr. Dredge
A Mr. Stam
A Mr. Brass
A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

E. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None scheduled

F. NEW BUSINESS

1. Consider a Resolution adopting the Tentative Budget, as amended, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011 and scheduling a public hearing to receive public comment before the final budget is adopted.

Ms. Dunn thanked everyone who has had a part in all of this process, starting with the Mayor's office and the Department Heads, all the way through the Council; there are a lot of people who have worked very hard on this budget, and no one person deserves any credit because everyone has worked hard.

For those who don't know the process: The Mayor and his staff work through the winter time, putting together a budget with their department heads, with their various departments, and that budget was presented to the Council back in April; then the Council has until now to look at that budget, make any revisions that they see fit-working with the department heads and the administration, and then, at this point in time, they adopt a tentative budget. That budget is not final until the first week in June, when they have a Public Hearing to allow the public to comment, and then on the 15th of June, the final budget will be adopted. Changes can even be made after tonight; and this is probably a second or third or fourth draft at this point. From there, it may change some more-probably less than it has changed along the way at this point.

Ms. Dunn said that in talking with a lot of the employees, there have been some concerns about what is happening, what the process is, and what is going to happen with it from here;

Hopefully, people will understand. They sent out a memo last week going over the process for the employees, so that they would understand. It would be nice if more of the residents would come and hear and see what the process is, but it is what it is and they appreciate those who take interest in it.

As they got the budget from the Mayor, one thing that the Council has been very adamant about, is this budget, while it differs from the Mayor's, there is not a right or wrong way to go with these budgets, and they very much appreciate the work that went into it by the Mayor's office. The differences here are philosophical; as the Council made changes to this budget, it is basically on a philosophy that different individuals looking at it today than those that were looking at before. Their basic philosophical differences were based on the use of one-time monies, looking at property taxes in the future-they don't mind looking at property taxes as the economy has been in a dire state for quite a while; they don't mind looking at that, but they want to be able to go back and tell the people in the city that they have done everything that they could with the budget before they go and look and increasing property taxes. They appreciate the Mayor's budget that he did not go and look to increasing property taxes this year, because they agree with that whole heartedly. They, as a Council, believe there were still places that could they could go back and cut from the different department's budgets before they go any further with things like property tax increases in the future or looking at affecting our employees with lay-offs and furloughs. That is what they attempted to do with this budget.

There were some varying philosophies amongst the Council as well, but those areas that she spoke about, everyone stayed really together on those points. The other thing that this Council is very much interested in doing over time, is creating some separate funds over time so that they can address retirement issues, and a lot of issues that come back and they can't really plan on what those things will do to the budget from one year to the next, how many people will retire and how that will affect our budget; by creating a retirement fund, we can have a little buffer there for our departments and our General Fund to not be hit with that. Another one is risk management, which they discussed in the Committee of the Whole meeting today, that is another fund that they feel they ought to have some buffer funds in that to help them out in the future. Another one is Capital Projects: anyone who works in the City knows what dire straits this building is in; we need to be setting aside dollars for the future for building projects, for huge road projects that we don't get enough money for from one year to the next to cover. Then there is the issue of UTOPIA; that is an issue that we are going to have to be discussing with our residents and our employees on an on-going basis so that people understand where we have been with that, where we are going, and they will be looking to visit with the employees about that in the future in some way.

They agree whole heartedly with the administration that , first, this year they needed to protect our employees; with the economy the way it is, we do not need any more unemployed people out from the workforce, and they believed that they needed to do what they could to protect employees, while at the same time, when they started this they made a statement that nothing was off the table, they looked at that as well, but one of their first goals was to protect their employees if they felt that they could. They Mayor's office did a really good job of protecting the employees in their budget, and they have

tried to that as well. They have always said, and hope that people know that they are serious about it; our employees are our greatest asset. The City is a service organization, and the people provide those services; those people are our employees, and they appreciate the employees immensely; they wanted to protect the employees as much as possible.

In the process of creating the budget, they were looking at several different things; so there was a general theme to their reductions and budgets: one of those was that over time, they felt that they could reduce overtime across the City and save some funds to do some things with their on-going expenses that they need to take care of. They looked at car allowances, they looked at training and education-that is something that, as a Council as a whole, believe that training and education is very important, but also believe that in order to protect the employees, and to do some of the things that they were able to do with this budget that they can cut back on education and training for a year or maybe two years, without really affecting the education level of our employees and the expertise of our employees; anything beyond that we start running a risk of not having employees who are able who are able to do their jobs at their most efficient level and the safest level. That is important to them, but they are looking at that for this particular budget cycle.

Professional services was an area that they looked at carefully; sometimes professional services becomes a little bit of a catch-all for different types of services, and they wanted to take that and tighten it up. The last one was non-departmental area, and again, just like professional services, they felt like this was an area that could be tightened up.

In order to mitigate the current down-turn in revenues that they have seen for a couple of years now, basically to mitigate the loss in revenues, they can do one of a few things: they can cut expenses, raise taxes, or they can increase the revenues and taxes would be one of the ways to increase those revenues. They would like to see a combination of things to do that, they can't cut it all out of the departments; they have cut pretty deeply out of the departments, and cannot do that much, if any, longer. They believe that it is going to be important to look at increasing the revenues and from there; they will have a lot to look at. The administration and the Council are going to look at, for the next year, the budget even more closely to find ways that they can look at the different programs that the City provides, and to find where they are doing a really good job, and if they need to increase funds there, they will. In places where we are really efficient, they will leave those alone, and in areas where they are being done because that is how it always has been done, or maybe they aren't doing as well as they should, those are areas that they will maybe look at cutting back in the future. That is going to be a long process-doing that in this budget wouldn't have been possible; they would need to spend a year doing that.

In some ways, this is the first of a two-year project and this is something that all five members of the Council have been very, very involved in, every person has contributed some very important information and very good ideas to this budget. With that, they will present their tentative budget; Pat Wilson has a copy of that budget, and will have that available for anyone who would like a copy. One of the things they did not want to do is make 25 copies of something that is 50 pages long, if it was not necessary, so they will ask people to request that through the Council and they will provide it to you within 24 hours.

With that, they will present the budget as it is from here, and anyone who would like a copy is welcome to it.

Mr. Nakamura added that the budget will be on file in the Finance Office, and obviously, if we can get an inspection of the record, rather than copying it, that would be preferable. Again, for those who would like to inspect the record, it will be on file in the Finance Office beginning tomorrow. Also, there is a public hearing on the tentative budget scheduled for June 8, 2010 at 6:30 p.m.

Mr. Stam made a motion to adopt the Resolution.

Mr. Dredge 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

2. Consider a Resolution approving the form of the equipment lease purchase agreement with Zion's First National Bank, Salt Lake City, Utah. Finding that it is in the best interests of the City to enter into said agreement, and authorizing the execution and delivery thereof.

Staff presentation: Patricia Wilson, Finance Director

Ms. Wilson stated that this is a Resolution to accept a lease purchase agreement with Zion's Bank; the Street Department is interested in purchasing a new paver for their operations, and the City decided that they needed to do that through a lease purchase, and they went out and got some quotes and bids for the tax exempt financing for this piece of machinery. She feels that is in the Council's best interest to give Zion's Bank the opportunity to finance this for us, they have offered an interest rate of 3.39%; the cost of the paver is \$263,740.00, we will be financing \$207,451.00 of that, as we will make a down payment to buy down some of the cost of that. The financing will be for three annual payments, beginning in June 01, 2011, and she recommends that we use Zion's Bank, and Alex Buxton from Zion's Bank is in attendance to answer any questions.

Mr. Buxton said they appreciate the opportunity to help the City out in this capacity. The last time he was here in front of the City Council, he was serving as an underwriter at the City's Municipal Bond issue of last year, and they are happy to be a purchaser in this capacity for this paver, and it is always a pleasure working with Ms. Wilson and they are certainly happy to service the City in this regard.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Mr. Stam 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

3. Consider a Resolution authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Draper City and Murray City for the sale of a used paver to Draper.

Staff presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill stated that now that the City has authorized the purchase of a new paver, they would like to get rid of their old, 1992 paver, and Draper City has expressed an interest in purchasing that paver from us. They determined the value of the used paver through our Competitive bid process, through our procurement Ordinance, which is \$9,000.00, and Draper has agreed to pay Murray City the \$9,000.00 for that old paver, if the Council approves this Resolution.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

4. Consider a Resolution approving an agreement between the City and the NeighborWorks Salt Lake to collaborate in providing affordable home ownership opportunities and addressing other housing concerns in the City.

Staff Presentation: Tim Tingey, Community Economic Development Director

Mr. Tingey said that there have been numerous discussions about this, but he wanted to highlight a couple of areas: this is an exciting opportunity for the City, we will have an organization that will devote time specifically here in Murray to meet housing needs for our residents here in the community.

We are excited for this agreement for a number of reasons: one, the services that will be provided and the opportunities that we have through that, and additionally, an important part of this agreement that you have before you is that we will be able to leverage funds for the funds that we are putting in to this organization and this effort. They will match the City, dollar for dollar, which is great when you can leverage money like that. In addition to that, related to the funds that we are going to be providing, it is going to be Redevelopment Agency funds, CDBG funds, and we are limiting the funds for administrative expenses to 20%, vs. 80% for capital and doing the work. They feel that this is very appropriate as well, that it will help the City get the funds out there to help the people that have the needs.

They are recommending approval of the Resolution and agreement with NeighborWorks of Salt Lake.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to adopt the Resolution.

Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

5. Consider a Resolution approving an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the City and the Utah Transit Authority (“UTA”) regarding the City selling property located at approximately 153 West 4800 South to UTA.

Staff presentation: Frank Nakamura, City Attorney

Mr. Nakamura stated that this is property that the City just surplussed, and this agreement with Utah Transit Authority is to sell this property to them, based on an independent appraised value of \$44,550.00. In the agreement we have made sure that we assume no risk on environmental issues, and also, we want to ensure access to our Central Substation that adjoins this property, so that if in fact we cannot access the property for whatever reason, that the Utah Transit Authority will cover costs that are involved to help us access this property, whether we have to get a crane or something. If this is approved, we will go ahead and close this deal with Utah Transit Authority; they will use this property to continue their construction of Front-Runner south.

Mr. Stam made a motion to adopt the Resolution.
Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

6. Consider a Resolution approving an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the City and the Utah Transit Authority (“UTA”) regarding the City selling property located at approximately 136 West Vine Street to UTA.

Staff Presentation: Frank Nakamura, City Attorney

Mr. Nakamura said that this, again, is property that the City has surplussed, and it is in the General Fund; in the agreement, we wanted to make sure that they will construct and dedicate a new right-of-way; Public Services will be involved in all approval processes on that construction, and then they will dedicate that right-of-way to the City. Until then, they do not get to do what they need to do, and they have an easement problem which he is unsure if they have taken care of yet, but that is not our concern.

This property is located on the smelter site overlay district, which they have acknowledged in the agreement and will comply with our institutional controls, and we assume no environmental issues in this. The purchase price is \$345,000.00, which is based upon an independent appraisal, and if it is approved, we will go ahead and close this deal with Utah Transit Authority and they will use this property for part of their construction of Front-Runner south.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the Agreement.
Mr. Dredge 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

7. Consider a Resolution authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Cottonwood Heights City and Murray City for power line conduit and apparatus replacement.

Staff presentation: Blaine Haacke, General Manager

Mr. Haacke stated that we are in need of a Resolution with Cottonwood Heights City, and you may wonder why we are up in the Cottonwood Heights area doing conduit and electrical work, and the answer to that is that we have a hydro that is located at the metro water treatment plant, and to get the electricity to the Vine Street Substation, we have several right-of-ways that go through the top part of the County and come in through the Fort Union Blvd area; this line is 25 – 30 years old, its deteriorating, and about 70% of the line on the east part of the bench has already been replaced. It is just a matter of time until we will need to replace it all. This line is fully loaded three or four months of the year with electricity coming through as we receive the run-off, and for the other seven or eight months of the year it is at zero. There is a lot of wear and tear on the conduit and conductor.

In February, we received word from Cottonwood Heights that they were going to do some road restoration on Fort Union Blvd, between 1400 East and 2300 East, and along that road way, we have an existing 4” pvc conduit that is old and the City wanted to replace with a new one while they had the opportunity with the road open. It came as a little bit of a surprise, but he feels that this will be advantageous to both cities if we do that right now. In that roadway, there are also 17 other utilities, six Salt Lake City water lines, a fiber optic line, a Murray City water line, as well as a conduit line and others. It is going to be an interesting project up there, for them to be able to resurface and restore that roadway, all within nine days. They have told the businesses in that area that it will be completed in nine days. We would not be doing the work- we would be paying Cottonwood Heights to do that work through a contractor; what the intent is, is to put in a 6” conduit in the ground, while the road is open. We would provide the materials, and they would put it in the ground; there are four boxes that we will need to do some restoration work ourselves on, we will have people on-site to watch over the process.

This would come to a cost to the City of approximately \$86,000.00. The alternatives are: we could wait and see how long that line lasts, and when it does finally fail, we would probably have to bore, so that we wouldn’t have to open up that road again, causing public relations problems. We have money in the budget for this, under the Capital Projects #2, there is \$450,000 in that budget that has not been touched much this year, and so the \$86,000 could be easily spent from that. They think that it is going to be better for all of us; it is a 3,200 yard span (1/2 mile) of 6” pvc conduit that they would put in. Right now, they would not put in the conductor, they will wait for another time to do that, and it would need to be budgeted through the process. While the road is open, they would like to put the conduit in, and they need an Interlocal agreement for this.

Mr. Dredge made a motion to adopt the Resolution.

Mr. Stam 2nd the motion.

Call vote recorded by Carol Heales:

 A Ms. Dunn
 A Mr. Dredge
 A Mr. Stam
 A Mr. Brass
 A Mr. Shaver

Motion passed 5-0

G. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Snarr wanted everyone to know that there are several add-grade crossings that are going to have to be addressed during the construction of the Front-Runner. We have 5900 South, Vine Street, 4800 South, the south side of 4500 South, those are the primary ones, and there may be some traffic delays over the next few weeks because of the work that is going to be done at those junctures.

The water meter replacement project is about 55% complete, they believe it will be finished by the end of the summer; some of his neighbors are really grateful yet they didn't turn the water all the way back on, and they didn't have the pressure to water their lawns. The Mayor asked them if they had noticed the drop of pressure in the water inside their houses when they tried to shower and one couple said "well, we don't take showers that often" and he laughed.

To Mr. Haacke's credit, we have the opportunity to replace some County owned street lighting, the challenge we have, and Mr. Haacke sent a lengthy email expressing concern about people becoming overly excited about the fact that Murray City was up there providing power to their neighborhoods. This isn't the case, but if they do call, we will inform them and say we wish we could, you have to find us a place for a great big substation which nobody wants, but we we're going to do is try to save some money; overall, it will amount to about \$10.00 per month for each one of the new lamps itself, and those monies come out of the General Fund. Anything that we can do to save some money on General Fund costs, is something that we are going to look at and look at every opportunity we have to provide good resources that can provide what we need at less cost. In this case, we will be able to recoup our money very quickly by doing this.

H. QUESTIONS OF THE MAYOR

None

ADJOURNMENT